

CHARGE SHEET

I. PERSONAL DATA

1. NAME OF ACCUSED (<i>Last, First, MI</i>) [REDACTED]			2. SSN [REDACTED]	3. RANK/RATE [REDACTED]	4. PAY GRADE O-4
5. UNIT OR ORGANIZATION Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group				6. CURRENT SERVICE	
				a. INITIAL DATE [REDACTED]	b. TERM [REDACTED]
7. PAY PER MONTH			8. NATURE OF RESTRAINT OF ACCUSED Pre-Trial Confinement	9. DATE(S) IMPOSED [REDACTED] - Present	
a. BASIC [REDACTED]	b. SEA/FOREIGN DUTY [REDACTED]	c. TOTAL [REDACTED]			

II. CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS

10. CHARGE I VIOLATION OF THE UCMJ, ARTICLE 92

Specification 1 (Violation of a Lawful General Order): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] fail to obey a lawful general order, to wit: SECNAV M-5510.36, paragraph 9-3, dated June 2006, as incorporated into SECNAVINST 5510.36A, dated 6 October 2006, by wrongfully transporting material classified as SECRET.

Specification 2 (Violation of a Lawful General Order): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] fail to obey a lawful general order, to wit: SECNAV M-5510.36, paragraph 12-2, dated June 2006, as incorporated into SECNAVINST 5510.36A, dated 6 October 2006, by wrongfully failing to report the compromise of information classified as SECRET.

Specification 3 (Failure to Obey a Lawful Order): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, having knowledge of a lawful order issued by the Special Security Officer, [REDACTED] to report all foreign contacts to the Special Security Officer, an order which it was his duty to obey, did, at or near [REDACTED] on divers occasions, between on or about [REDACTED] and about [REDACTED], fail to obey the same by wrongfully failing to report foreign contacts.

SEE 2 ADDITIONAL PAGES

III. PREFERRAL

11a. NAME OF ACCUSER (<i>Last, First, MI</i>) [REDACTED]	b. GRADE [REDACTED]	c. ORGANIZATION OF ACCUSER [REDACTED]
d. SIGNATURE OF ACCUSER [REDACTED]		e. DATE [REDACTED]

AFFIDAVIT: Before me, the undersigned, authorized by law to administer oaths in cases of this character, personally appeared the above named accuser this [REDACTED] day of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and signed the foregoing charges and specifications under oath that he/she is a person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice and that he/she either has personal knowledge of or has investigated the matters set forth therein and that the same are true to the best of his/her knowledge and belief.

Typed Name of Officer

Organization of Officer

Grade and Service

Official Capacity to Administer Oaths
(See R.C.M. 307(b)--must be commissioned officer)

Continuation of DD FORM 458 ICO U.S. v. [REDACTED] USN, [REDACTED]

CHARGE I, VIOLATION OF THE UCMJ, ARTICLE 92 (CONTINUED)

Specification 4 (Violation of a Lawful General Order): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] fail to obey a lawful general order, to wit: SECNAV M-5510.36, paragraph 10-3, dated June 2006, as incorporated into SECNAVINST 5510.36A, dated 6 October 2006, by wrongfully failing to properly store material classified as SECRET.

CHARGE II, VIOLATION OF THE UCMJ, ARTICLE 106a

Specification 1 (Espionage): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on divers occasions, from about [REDACTED] to about [REDACTED] with intent or reason to believe it would be used to the advantage of a foreign nation, communicate SECRET information relating to the national defense to representatives of a foreign government.

Specification 2 (Espionage): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on divers occasions, from about [REDACTED] to about [REDACTED] with intent or reason to believe it would be used to the advantage of a foreign nation, communicate SECRET information relating to the national defense to a representative of a foreign government.

Specification 3 (Attempted Espionage): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent or reason to believe it would be used to the advantage of a foreign nation, attempt to communicate SECRET information relating to the national defense to a representative of a foreign government.

Specification 4 (Attempted Espionage): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent or reason to believe it would be used to the advantage of a foreign nation, attempt to communicate SECRET information relating to the national defense to a representative of a foreign government.

Specification 5 (Attempted Espionage): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent or reason to believe it would be used to the advantage of a foreign nation, attempt to communicate SECRET information relating to the national defense to a representative of a foreign government.

CHARGE III, VIOLATION OF THE UCMJ, ARTICLE 107

Specification 1 (False Official Statement): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent to deceive, electronically sign an official record, to wit: Standard Form 86, which record was false in that it failed to include foreign travel from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] and was then known by the said [REDACTED] to be so false.

Specification 2 (False Official Statement): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent to deceive, electronically sign an official record, to wit: an e-Leave Request dated [REDACTED] which record was false in that it listed the leave address as [REDACTED] rather than the actual foreign destination, and was then known by the said [REDACTED] to be so false.

Specification 3 (False Official Statement): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED] with intent to deceive, electronically sign an official record, to wit: an e-Leave Request dated [REDACTED] which record was false in that it listed the leave address as [REDACTED] rather than the actual foreign destination, and was then known by the said [REDACTED] to be so false.

CHARGE IV, VIOLATION OF THE UCMJ, ARTICLE 134

Specification 1 (Communicating Defense Information): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED], having lawful access to information relating to the national defense of the United States, which information the said [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, had reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, knowingly and willfully communicate information relative to the national defense to a person not entitled to receive said information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(d), an offense not capital.

Specification 2 (Communicating Defense Information): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED], having lawful access to information relating to the national defense of the United States, which information the said [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, had reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, knowingly and willfully communicate information relative to the national defense to a person not entitled to receive said information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(d), an offense not capital.

Specification 3 (Communicating Defense Information): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED], having lawful access to information relating to the national defense of the United States, which information the said [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, had reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, knowingly and willfully communicate information relative to the national defense to a person not entitled to receive said information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(d), an offense not capital.

Specification 4 (Communicating Defense Information): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED], having lawful access to information relating to the national defense of the United States, which information the said [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, had reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, knowingly and willfully communicate information relative to the national defense to a person not entitled to receive said information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(d), an offense not capital.

Specification 5 (Communicating Defense Information): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on or about [REDACTED], having lawful access to information relating to the national defense of the United States, which information the said [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, had reason to believe could be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, knowingly and willfully communicate information relative to the national defense to a person not entitled to receive said information in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 793(d), an offense not capital.

Specification 6 (Prostitution - Patronizing): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, did, at or near [REDACTED] on divers occasions from about [REDACTED] to about [REDACTED] wrongfully procure [REDACTED] to engage in an acts of sexual intercourse for hire and reward with the accused, such conduct being to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces and of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

Specification 7 (Adultery): In that [REDACTED] U.S. Navy, Commander Patrol and Reconnaissance Group, on active duty, a married man, did, at or near [REDACTED] on divers occasions between about [REDACTED], wrongfully have sexual intercourse with [REDACTED] a woman not his wife, such conduct being to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces and of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

AND NO OTHERS