

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ONI NEWSLETTER  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
WASHINGTON, D. C.  
(Prepared by Op-921)

VOL II, No. 1

3 March 1958

(This Newsletter is intended for dissemination to all persons concerned with investigations. Sufficient copies are furnished to allow distribution of individual copies to each Naval Intelligence Agent.)

CHANGES IN THE OFFICE OF NAVAL INTELLIGENCE

Effective 1 March 1958, Captain S. B. FRANKEL, USN, relieved RADM V. L. LOWRANCE as Deputy Director of Naval Intelligence (Security)(Op-92C). RADM LOWRANCE has assumed the duties of Deputy Director of Naval Intelligence (Intelligence), relieving RADM CHAS. B. MARTELL, who has been detached.

MANUAL FOR INVESTIGATIONS - ERRATA IN

Complete distribution of the Manual Change has now been made, together with the distribution of additional copies of the Manual to the various Districts and Offices. Sufficient copies should now be on hand so that each agent may have one assigned to him personally. A minor clerical error has been discovered in the text of the Change which it is requested each holder correct in pen and ink as follows: On page 4, Section 1-0104.5, following last word in line 3, add: "Basic Training Course, the Agents' Refresher Course and the Supervising Agents'."

AGENT VACANCIES

The following agent vacancies, actual and anticipated, exist as of 24 February 1958:

DIO-3ND:	1
DIO-4ND:	3
DIO-5ND:	2
DIO-6ND:	4
DIO-9ND:	2
DIO-11ND:	7
DIO-14ND:	2
PRNC:	2

REASSIGNMENT OF OVERSEAS AGENTS

All agents presently assigned to overseas billets and whose tours will expire during the next twelve months are requested to submit via their respective Intelligence Officers (1) their desires with regard to extension of tour or (2) their preference (3 choices) for assignment to Continental Districts upon return to the United States.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

NEW OVERSEAS ASSIGNMENTS

Special Agents William G. Mendelson from ONI and William B. Jepson from DIO-12ND have recently been assigned to duty in IO-CINCNELM; Special Agent Arthur J. Sullivan from DIO-6ND has been assigned to DIO-10ND; and Special Agent Douglas T. Wada formerly of DIO-14ND has been assigned to IO-COMNAVJAPAN. Special Agents Edward C. Wenberg, DIO-11ND, Dennis H. Tipton, DIO-5ND, and Kenneth W. Nickel, DIO-4ND, are presently undergoing indoctrination at ONI pending assignment to IO-COMNAVJAPAN. Special Agent Richard R. Stevens, DIO-14ND, has also been selected for assignment to IO-COMNAVJAPAN and will be transferred shortly.

REQUESTS FOR OVERSEAS BILLETS

Agents are again reminded that applications for overseas assignments are desired on a continuing basis. For the information of interested persons, the following data with regard to extra compensation in various billets is furnished. It must be borne in mind that these figures vary from time to time, but as given represent current allowances:

a. Stations where a salary differential is paid

Port Lyantey, F.M.	10%
San Juan, P.R.	17½% <i>Cola -</i>
Canal Zone	25%
Kodiak, Alaska	25% <i>Cola -</i>
Honolulu, T.H.	20% <i>Cola -</i>
Guam	25%
Subic Bay, Philippine Republic	15%
Sangley Point, Philippine Republic	15%

The percentage of differential is based on the per annum salary and is added to it. The differential is considered as earned income and is therefore taxable for Federal Income Tax purposes.

b. Stations where allowance for quarters is paid

	<u>Yokosuka, Japan</u>	<u>Naples, Italy</u>	<u>London, England</u>
PG 7-9 w/dep	\$2100 p.a.	\$1800 p.a.	\$1800 p.a.
PG 7-9 w/o dep	\$1400 p.a.	\$1400 p.a.	\$1200 p.a.
PG 10-13 w/dep	\$2800 p.a.	\$2400 p.a.	\$2400 p.a.
PG 10-13 w/o dep	\$2100 p.a.	\$1800 p.a.	\$1800 p.a.

The allowance for quarters is paid only if quarters are not provided in kind.

c. Stations where cost of living allowance (COLA) is paid

Naples, Italy - The amount varies from \$170 to \$210 p.a. without dependents and from \$210 to \$270 p.a. with dependents and is based on the per annum salary in dollars. This allowance is in addition to the quarters allowance set forth under b above.

Allowances for quarters and cost of living allowances are exempt from Federal Income Tax.

INDICATING PRIORITIES AND EXPEDITES ON 119's

In order to facilitate the timely handling of leads disseminated by 119's to a District other than the originating District, the deadline or due date, when applicable, may be indicated in the undeveloped lead section of the 119. EXAMPLE: "DIO-5ND is requested to interview Subject's personal references noted above and report directly to DIO-3ND (Deadline - 31 March 1958)."

It is improper, however, to use the Undeveloped Lead Section to expedite Districts which have outstanding leads. In such case, a Form 152 should be utilized.

INFORMATION TO BE FORWARDED WITH LEADS

When setting out undeveloped leads for another District to interview an individual such as a reference or witness, and no PHS accompanies the 152, Districts are encouraged to include therein, all available information such as his full name, rank/rate, file/serial number, branch of service, duty station and home address if he is in the military. If the individual is a civilian, his full name, occupation/title, correct home and business address should be set out.

FORWARDING BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS OR NATIONAL AGENCY CHECK RESULTS TO BUPERS

A forthcoming change to ONI INST 5521.9A will indicate that results of National Agency Checks and Background Investigations forwarded to Chief of Naval Personnel will be forwarded to Pers Fl instead of Pers B6. In the meantime, all activities are requested to insure that the results of all investigations formerly forwarded to Pers B6 are henceforth addressed to Pers Fl.

THE BACKLOG HEADACHE

The investigative backlog is a matter of considerable concern here at Headquarters. Efforts are desired to reduce somewhat the large number of pending investigations, but without a sacrifice in the element of quality. One method each DIO and IO can help in this undertaking is a careful screening of all requests for investigations in the light of SECNAV INST 5430.13A, which is quoted in part:

"Minor offenses shall be handled by personnel attached to the command without recourse to the facilities of Naval Intelligence. Naval Intelligence facilities will not be utilized in minor or petty matters . . . . . routine administrative investigations . . . . . or thefts or loss of personal property. The facilities of Naval Intelligence are to be reserved, except under unusual circumstances, for the investigation of matters or situations of gravity which also require the application of professional and technical investigative methods." (It is pointed out, however, that the various commands must refer major crimes and offenses to the DIO so that the latter can fulfill his responsibility under SECNAV INST 5820.2).



NOTES ON NATIONAL AGENCY CHECK REVIEW

Information which the District Intelligence Office considers derogatory on completed NACs many times returns to Office of Naval Intelligence so thoroughly disguised that much extra work is necessitated to discover exactly what the derogatory stamp is based upon. A case in point is the 397 that has left Office of Naval Intelligence as a "No Record" and comes back with the derogatory stamp stating Office of Naval Intelligence is cognizant. Attached to this 397 is a Personal History Statement which may be ultimately the only source of derogatory information available.

In cases such as this, District Intelligence Office, FIRST Naval District has made it a practice to type in below its derogatory stamp a statement to the effect that the only information developed is stated in item number \_\_\_\_\_ of the Subject's Personal History Statement. This is a practice to be commended and is strongly suggested for use by the other District Offices as it pinpoints the derogatory information available. Another area in which the Districts may decrease the problems in the Office of Naval Intelligence review section, would be a more careful screening of the National Agency Check, especially in regard to the current instructions regarding trivial information. It is easily discernible that most Districts have set some standard in regard to this matter. However, in a few Districts little, if any, screening is accomplished. Examples of derogatory information upon which their stamps have been based are: a sailor losing his license for thirty days for speeding on a base, a blackout violation in 1941, and minor traffic violations.

When information of this type returns, the file reviewer must decide if this is the information upon which the stamp is based or if the District has other pertinent information which is not contained in the Office of Naval Intelligence files. The safe way to resolve this dilemma is to write the District and request the basis for the stamp, which causes additional work at both ends and often duplication of effort by the District.

One final point which should be kept in mind by reviewers in National Agency Check screening is the fact that Office of Naval Intelligence will transmit all information it has on file so that it may be pieced together with the other element returns. Office of Naval Intelligence information per se may often be so insignificant as not to warrant a derogatory stamp but put together with information from other agencies a derogatory case may result.

If the points outlined above are applied by the Districts, much will be accomplished to overcome the problems and duplications at present hampering the efficiency in both the Office of Naval Intelligence file review and the District.

AGENTS' TRAINING SCHOOL GRADUATES

Polygraph Training Course, 1P-58, was in session at ONI during the period 2 December 1957 to 13 December 1957. The following agents were in attendance:

DIO-1ND - John F. Foran  
3ND - Robert J. Walsh  
6ND - Cecil G. Boggs  
8ND - Lloyd G. Beck  
9ND - Earl S. Richey  
IO-PRNC - Robert J. Dompka

Agents' Basic Training Course, 2B-58, was conducted during the period 13 January 1958 to 7 February 1958 with the following agents in attendance:

DIO-1ND - Karl R. Miller  
3ND - John R. Gentile  
- William C. O'Riley  
4ND - James B. Chambers  
- Leland M. Miller  
- Dudley B. Thomas  
5ND - Zachary T. Harrison  
- Mathaniel J. Hudgins Jr.  
- Donald P. Masden  
6ND - Kenneth A. Seal  
8ND - Thomas E. Springer  
9ND - Charles H. Fairbank  
- Wilbur E. Goodnow  
- Charles Kampton  
- James L. Roberts  
11ND - Bruno E. DeMolli  
- William F. Gray  
12ND - Louis P. Cairo  
13ND - Merlin D. Fisher  
14ND - Charles F. Finkboner Jr.  
17ND - Donald L. Mitchell  
PRNC - James H. Bell  
- Howard P. Mullis  
- Lewis F. Stilson  
ONI - William V. Kelleher

SPECIAL AGENTS' ASSOCIATION

Response to the questionnaires circulated to the prospective membership of the Special Agents' Association is still incomplete. All agents who have not yet indicated their desires in this regard are urged to return the form furnished them immediately.

At this writing, approximately 77% of the eligible membership have responded. Of these, 72% expressed a desire to participate in the organization, with preference for the \$10,000.00 plan running about 60-40 over the \$5,000.00 plan. While it may be assumed that those interested in the \$10,000.00 plan would also be willing to participate in the \$5,000.00 plan, the reverse would probably not be true. It would appear therefore that in all likelihood the \$5,000.000 plan stands the best chance of being adopted.

Many provocative comments were submitted on the returned questionnaires and the interest displayed is most gratifying. Space will not permit a point by point discussion of the various issues raised, but a few matters which appear to require clarification are touched on as follows:

- a. There will be no financial compensation paid to any of the officers of the Association for duties performed in connection with it. This provision will be embodied in the Constitution and By-laws.
- b. The individual subscriptions required to establish the Association, viz: \$125.00 or \$65.00 depending on which plan is adopted, are not intended to be an annual or periodic assessment in the nature of an insurance premium. After the initial assessment has been paid, no further payments will be required unless and until there is a death of an Association member. At such time as a death payment is made, an assessment will be levied on the membership to replenish the Fund. It may be noted that there have only been 4 deaths among active agents since 1946. However, if it is decided to re-insure the Fund, it may be necessary to require a small annual assessment of \$5.00 to \$10.00 to finance this expense until interest accumulations from invested funds are sufficient to cover it.
- c. The purpose of the Association is solely to provide a death benefit fund for active members. It cannot, as has been suggested, become involved in loaning money to members, payment of disability benefits, or extending coverage to individuals after retirement (except as provided in Section 4 of the By-laws). It is not an insurance company and will not have the staff or resources to undertake any of the aforementioned activities. To best serve the purpose for which it is intended, administrative complications in the conduct of the Association must be kept to a minimum.
- d. Any prospective member who has already submitted a negative questionnaire may change it if he so desires, providing the change is received prior to the effective formation date of the Association. Persons wishing to submit such a change are urged to do so as soon as possible.