

January 1972

# **N I S**



**Not To Be Disseminated Outside Naval Investigative Service**



# NIS Newsletter

Published quarterly by Naval Investigative Service Headquarters.

---

**Distribution:** This Newsletter is intended for all Naval Investigative Service personnel concerned with investigations and counterintelligence. Sufficient copies are furnished to allow distribution of individual copies to all NIS professional personnel.


---

## Newsletter Items:

Items of general interest are solicited on a continuing basis from field components. All items of general interest for the Newsletter should be forwarded to NISHQ (252).

NISO's are encouraged to report to NISHQ (251), on a continuing basis letters of commendation, appreciation and other favorable personnel action for inclusion in the Newsletter.





## From The Director's Desk



As I intimated in my recent "Seasons Greetings" letter, 1971 was indeed "The Year that Was." Fittingly, my last transmission to you in 1971 was the news of the SecDef decision on the concept of the new Defense Investigative Service.

We are now in the New Year, but, as of this writing, we know no more details of this new development than I conveyed in my year-end message. I think, however, that the significant point to remember is that we are at last off the dead-center on which we have been for several months with respect to just what direction events might take us in 1972. While a great deal of detailed work must be done, and while many decisions--organizational as well as personal--are in store for many of us, I personally enter the new year with a sense that we can at least address real and substantive problems rather than continue the "shadow boxing" that has been our experience these past several months.

I know it will be uncomfortable and trying for many of you in not knowing from day to day just how things are in fact developing. The general direction, however, is obvious, and I am convinced that there is no cause for any apprehension on the part of anyone as to job tenure, etc. though, naturally, some of us in time will be working for a different element of the DOD than we have in the past.




I pledge to all of you that we will keep you as fully informed as we can, and that every decision we make at Headquarters will be taken with the fullest possible appreciation of the effect on each individual in NIS-- and that we will push for such consideration in our representations to the OSD people who will be making the decisions on the formation of the new DIS.

My very best to all as we enter the New Year.

Sincerely,  
*J. Q. Edwards*

---

## NIC Change of Command Ceremony



On 22 July 1971, Rear Admiral E.F. RECTANUS(middle above), assumed command of the Naval Intelligence Command from Rear Admiral F. J. HARLFINGER, II(left above), in ceremonies held at the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D. C. Admiral Elmo R. ZUMWALT, Jr., CNO, was the featured speaker. Prior to his promotion to Rear Admiral the then Captain RECTANUS served within NIC as Assistant for Fleet Support and Intelligence Operations. Rear Admiral F. J. HARLFINGER, II, was promoted to Vice Admiral and assumed the position of Director, Command Support Programs.



# VIP REPORT



Special Agent Joseph C. OULAHAN is Supervising Agent, NISO, Philadelphia. He was employed by NIS in 1951 at NISHQ. Joe then spent several years as a street agent at NISHQ, Washington, before returning to NISHQ in December 1959 where he served in various capacities in the Criminal Investigations Division and as the Head, Internal Security Investigations Division. In 1966, Special Agent OULAHAN was promoted to the position of Supervising Agent, USNAVINVSEUROEUR. Special Agent OULAHAN was born in Washington, D.C. in 1927. He was educated in Cincinnati, Ohio (Xavier University) and Washington, D.C. (Georgetown University) and served in the U.S. Navy during World War II. Joe and his lovely wife Jane have three daughters, Reese, 18, Eileen, 15, and Jessie, 10. They reside in near-

by Cherry Hills, N.J. An industrious and personable supervisor, Special Agent OULAHAN enjoys stamp and coin collecting and reading U.S. History as an avocation.

---

## DIRECTOR'S CUP AWARD SUSPENDED FOR FY-71

Each year since 1967 the Director's Cup has been awarded to the Special Agent who has (1) demonstrated advanced professional development during his attendance at the Agents' Basic Course and (2) whose performance in the field demonstrated an outstanding potential for continued service and career development. Due to fiscal constraints, the dearth of newly hired agents and the loss through reduction-in-force of many of the Special Agents that attended the only Basic Course convened in FY-71 it was decided to suspend the award for this past fiscal year.



## GEORGE R. SALB RETIRES



MR. & MRS. GEORGE R. SALB  
Industrial Security Section (1956-1961), Head, Review and Control Division (1961-1965), and Head, Criminal Investigations Division (1965-1971).

With the beginning of the new year, the Naval Investigative Service, is without the talent, expertise and companionship of one of its truly outstanding professionals. George R. SALB retired 31 December 1971 after serving more than twenty-three years with Naval Intelligence. George had more than twenty-eight years in the intelligence/law enforcement field, having served with the Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service and the Central Intelligence Agency prior to his employment with the Office of Naval Intelligence on 27 October 1948. A native of Washington, D.C., George was one of that rare breed who served his entire career at one location, Naval Investigative Service Headquarters. During his career, he held posi-

A graduate of Georgetown University (1943), George also completed numerous professional training courses, including Treasury Law Enforcement Training School; Bureau of Internal Revenue School on Tax Fraud; Bureau of Narcotics Training School; ONI Polygraph Course; Forensic Sciences Symposium, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology; and the Purdue University Arson Seminar.

During the Supervising Agents' Seminar, held in November, 1971, George was honored at a retirement party at the Officers' Club, USNH, Bethesda, Maryland. Being the modest man that he is coupled with his insistence that no party be given in his honor, a great deal of secrecy went into the planning and organization of the party, which came as a complete surprise to George. The party, attended by personnel from NISHQ as well as several outlying NISO's, included many of George's friends and associates, several of whom came from considerable distances in order to bid farewell to a loyal and respected friend. On the occasion of the party in his honor, George was presented with many fine mementos of his career, including a handcrafted brandy decanter, a set of eight sterling silver cordial glasses and matching sterling silver serving tray. Space limitations prevent the quoting of the numerous comments concerning George's abilities made at the gathering. Suffice it to say that the capabilities of George R. SALB will be sorely missed by NIS.



George says he has nothing definite in mind for the immediate future. He and his lovely wife, Ruth, intend to travel and "take things easy."

#### A NOTE OF APPRECIATION

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to those who contributed in both large and small ways to the tremendous party at Bethesda on 17 November 1971. The gifts were outstanding and will be treasured, as will my friendship and my respect for each of you. There is no way that I can reciprocate, as much as I'd like to, except to thank you. It was more than I, or any man, deserved.

To each Supervising Agent, many of whom I have known for a good number of years, my thanks. And my thanks to those who arranged the party while the Supervising Agents were at Headquarters.

I hesitate to mention names as I have served under many Commanding Officers, Executive Officers and intermediates, but I have served under only two civilian "bosses" in my 23 years, Dick Wilson and Jack Lynch. My thanks for your patience, understanding and advice. Both had the foresight to surround me with outstanding and highly competent assistants.

I have learned much from those I have worked for, those who have worked for me and those I have worked with. My thanks to each of you for your contribution.

My thanks again to you for making the 17th such a memorable night for both Ruth and me.

s/G.R. SALB

(Ed note: As a parting gesture Mr. SALB asked that this message be included in the Newsletter.)



## **S/A STANLEY S. FUJII HONORED**

After five and one-half years of service with NISO Japan, Special Agent Stanley S. FUJII returned to CONUS and was assigned as SRA, NISRA, Yuma. Judging from the many certificates and letters of appreciation presented by Japanese officials, together with comments made by NIS supervisory personnel, S/A FUJII's extended tour in Japan can only be described as rewarding, successful and commendable.

Prior to his departure Stan received the following expressions of appreciation:

- (1) A letter of appreciation, accompanied by a Japanese Ceremonial Warrior Helmet, from the Chief of Yokosuka Police Department
- (2) A bronze eagle from the Narcotics Section of the Yokosuka Police Department
- (3) A letter of appreciation, accompanied by a medallion, from the Chief of the Yokohama District Procurator's Office
- (4) A letter of appreciation and a silver medal, with gold police symbol, from the Chief of the Kanagawa Prefectural Police Headquarters
- (5) A letter of appreciation, accompanied by a Japanese ceremonial flower vase, from the Chief of the Kanto-Shinitzu District Narcotics Control Office, Health and Welfare Ministry
- (6) A letter of appreciation from the Chief of the Public Peace Division of the Kanagawa Prefectural Police Headquarters
- (7) A letter of appreciation, accompanied by a medal, from the Chief of the Criminal Investigations Division of the Tokyo Metropolitan Police Department. Presentation of this medal was of particular significance since S/A FUJII is only the third U.S. Citizen to receive the award; the others being officials with the U.S. Army and the U.S. Embassy in Tokyo.

Each of the above presentations was made in formal ceremony with many laudatory comments. There is no doubt that Stan's presence in Japan will be missed by his many friends and professional acquaintances.





## INVESTIGATIONS DEPARTMENT NOTES

Division submissions report information concerning items of current interest, suggestions as to more efficient methods of operation, and reminders of operating guidelines. Official guidance, commendations and criticism are promulgated to the field by other more formal means. The information printed here is not to be construed as NIS policy.

---

### Personnel Security Investigations Division

A growing number of ROI's are failing to mention the confirmation or lack of confirmation of birth data when a lead is covered which might elicit that information. The failure to report this item has led to an increasing number of ALS's to the field requiring additional work and additional submission of ROI's to cover this needed birth confirmation.

---

JCS cases require only a five year residence coverage but fifteen year credit coverage. Several ROI's have been received recently which have included fifteen year coverage of residences which, of course, has resulted in needless loss of agent time and effort.

---

Where credit checks are required, but due to local policy cannot be made, a comment to that effect should be included in the report. NIS may know it, but the requester may not.

---

When it is requested on the NOCP that the Subject be interviewed relative to the completion of items 6 or 18 of the SPH, it is intended that this be accomplished in a "low key" manner if at all possible. In other words, if the item was not answered due to an oversight and should have been answered in the negative, then just briefly note that on the ROI. However, if the individual states that he has had, for example, mental problems or involvement in other than minor offenses, then the gravity of each admitted item will dictate the type of interview afforded.

---

BI's conducted on DISCO immigrant alien personnel have a minimum scope of five years regardless of age; thus, the scope of a 19 year old Subject commences at age 14 and ROI's must include verification of the subject's activities during this time. While only the last secondary school attended during this period need be checked, checking other schools attended during the scope can satisfy the "activities" requirement.

---



In personnel security investigations verification is required of any substantial period of unemployment, or other periods of time which are otherwise not confirmed by records or informants. "Substantial" has been defined as any period or combination of periods in excess of three months. The easiest way to cover this is to seek confirmation of these periods while interviewing other informants and to report this verification in the ROI.

---

## Internal Security Investigations Division

### NIR DISTRIBUTION

Quite a number of NIS Information Reports (NIR) containing raw intelligence concerning organizations or related matters are being received at NISHQ without proper distribution indicated.

When information is collected which is of basic concern to more than one Headquarters Department or Division, the NIR Distribution Block should so indicate.

NIS-1, Section 4-0103.9e(2) (a), provides guidance concerning NIR distribution:

"H" - Use same as ALS/ROI and CCI/CCR except that the nature of the information reported may be of primary interest to either an Investigations Department/Division or the SEC Department, and only one "H." entry may be used. (If more than one review desk should have interest, a secondary "I." entry should be made for that review desk.)

### CHARACTERIZATIONS

The July 1971 issue of the NIS Newsletter reminded that a NIS Characterization must be included as an attachment to a Report of Investigation when a characterized organization is mentioned in the ROI. While most offices comply, in many cases Characterizations are listed as attachments but are not attached to the NISHQ copies of the report. When a NIS Characterization is listed as an attachment, it must be forwarded with all copies of the ROI so that the report will be complete when it receives Seat of Government distribution.

### INTERROGATIONS IN HOSTAGE CASES

Section 2-0916 of ONI 63-1B describes the interview and defensive briefing of Subjects of Hostage cases as "interrogations". While the difference between the terms "interrogation" and "interview" is sometimes only that of intensity of effort on the part of the Special Agent, the term "interrogation" carries a connotation of criminal conduct or wrong-doing. In Hostage cases, it is incumbent upon the Agent during the interrogation to ensure that the Subject understands that his loyalty is not being questioned and that he is not suspected of any wrong-doing merely because of his hostage situation.



REFER WHEN READY

The establishment of uniform and effective procedures for managing and reporting investigations referred to other agencies was accomplished by NIS Instruction 5520.20 of August 1971.

Some cases have been received in which investigation preliminary to referral has not been adequate to establish an offense or to perfect the complaint to the extent that a reasonable man would believe a crime has been committed. It is not sufficient basis for referral when there exists only someone's conjecture or speculation that a crime has been committed. Sufficient investigation should be completed prior to referral to enable the receiving agency to make a reasonable determination as to the probable existence of a crime.

#### FIELD INITIATION OF CATEGORY 3 INVESTIGATIONS

There has been a noted increase in the failure of field components to initiate appropriate Category 3 investigations upon receipt of information which logically required investigative effort to achieve resolution. Field elements have a basic responsibility for case initiation upon receipt of information which indicates that such action must be taken in the best interests of the Navy or the United States Government.

Close coordination between the Investigations and SEC Departments and full utilization of the analytical resources of each NISO will enhance the timely initiation and successful resolution of Category 3 cases and will preclude the abuse of the NIR in transmitting information to the exclusion of initiating an investigation.

#### NOCP TELLS STORY

The NIS Newsletter has previously contained articles concerning the submission of NOCP's bereft of necessary information. It is reiterated that the "who, what, where, when, and how" of a situation must be included in the NOCP. Frequently, NISHQ must advise other agencies or Naval activities in Washington of the initiation of cases. In order to accomplish such notification in a professional manner, the NOCP must be as timely and complete as possible.

---

## **Criminal Investigations Division**

The necessity for including in all ROIs complete and accurate identifying data is stressed. This applies not only to the Subject but also to Co-Subject, Informants, Subject's of previous NIS Investigations, and Witnesses. Identifying data on the Subject and all Co-Subjects should include, at the minimum, full name, sex, DPOB, SSN and Military Service Number. The inclusion of this information in the ROI makes it possible for accurate, expeditious file checks as well as facilitating the CROSS-REFERENCING of names

---



With the promulgation of Change 15 to NIS-1, it has been noted there has been a slight misinterpretation of Section 4-104.4f which states in part, "If the entire ROI/SCR/NIR/CCR can be stated in a synopsis/summary narrative paragraph, no further text captions or narrative paragraphs need be made." This has resulted in some offices submitting ROIs reporting entire investigations under a synopsis caption, including all investigative aspects, i.e., searches, lab analysis, interrogations, etc., in one paragraph. This procedure is incorrect as many necessary details are omitted from the ROI. The aforementioned section pertains primarily to non-derogatory type PSI ROIs and such cases as a category 9F orientation briefing. It is to be noted ONI 63-1B, Section 1-609.1, requires that a "detailed" ROI be submitted in all non-PSI investigations. This is not to preclude the submission of short, one paragraph ROIs on lead cases where only one lead is reported; however, in these cases the proper caption should be used; the heading "SYNOPSIS" would be incorrect.

---

With regard to statements, it should be pointed out that whenever credible derogatory information is developed or the suspect makes any pertinent admissions or denials, this information should be reduced to writing. When a suspect declines to execute a written statement incorporating the substance of any such admissions or denials, a Results of Interview shall be prepared by the interviewing agent, to which the completed Acknowledgement and Waiver of Rights Form is attached. In these instances it is not necessary to include in the Results of Interview that information already reflected in the attached Waiver. In the event the suspect refuses to sign the waiver portion of the Rights Form, but it is established that this is not an invocation of his rights and subject does not object to being interrogated, his refusal to sign the waiver and a brief summary of the reasons therefor shall be noted in the Interview Log. In such cases the Acknowledgement and Waiver of Rights Form shall not be used and any subsequent written statement made by the subject or Results of Interview prepared shall incorporate the details of the warning procedure in the preamble paragraph.

---

The SSD document used in the reporting of bomb threat incidents is apparently not understood by several NISRAs. Recently, the Criminal Division has received several NIR's reporting investigative effort. In those cases where "AFFIRMATIVE" investigative effort is expended, requests for investigation should be obtained from the command and the results of the investigation should be reported by ALS/ROI vice NIR. Basically, unless the information is such that emergency action is required, no investigative action should be taken until the information is furnished to proper authority and an investigative request is received. This does not preclude taking such steps as are logical and immediately appropriate to determine the reliability of the information and/or the information. It is recognized that in a bomb threat incident a fine line exists in determining how much effort is required before an investigation is initiated or whether the information is reported by NIR.



Suffice it to say that if effort is made to assist the command in a search, interview of the recipient of the threat, or an attempt is made in other ways to identify the person making the threat, an investigation should be initiated. When an investigation is initiated, the results should be reported by ROI under Category 7X. Some NISRA's have erroneously used category 6X which is contrary to guidance set forth in DIRNIS ltr Ser 3886 of 29 May 1969, Subj: "Cases Involving Bomb Threats Against U. S. Naval Vessels; jurisdiction of."

---

## Career Services Division

A recent change was effected within the Naval Investigative Service, changing the job series classification code for the Special Agent corps from GS-1810 to GS-1811. The old code (GS-1810) was frequently the subject of controversy; that controversy was apparently founded in inadequate knowledge of what a job series is as well as what is required for hazardous duty retirement.

Very basically, the job series classification code merely establishes the parameters of activity for any given employee. It specifies requisite qualifications and very generally defines the type of duty expected. It has nothing to do with premium pay or hazardous duty retirement under section 6(c) of the Retirement Act. At the time of the general agent corps conversion from contract status to Excepted Service, General Investigator Series (GS-1810), there was much concern expressed that (a) hazardous duty retirement would no longer be "automatic," and (b) if NIS had instead converted to GS-1811, agents could more easily receive a higher rate of premium pay, specifically 25%.

It should be noted immediately that both of the above premises reflect a misunderstanding of existing government regulations. First, hazardous duty retirement is never "automatic." Enclosure (15) to NIS INST 12300.2 specifies what is required to establish eligibility for hazardous duty retirement. The requirements are fixed by the U.S. Civil Service Commission rather than an individual agency and all agencies must satisfy those eligibility requirements. The Head of the activity (DIRNIS in the case of NIS) must certify that the requirements have been met. Historically, there has never been a rejection or, for that matter, any unusual difficulty encountered in the processing of a NAV-INV SERV Special Agent's application for hazardous duty retirement. Such applications are processed at least as fast (if not faster) than those of other similar agencies. Secondly, job series classification codes and rates of premium pay are unrelated. The Head of an activity is empowered to compensate employees from 10 to 25% premium pay for uncontrolled overtime hours in lieu of compensatory time off. The rate of premium pay is fixed after determining the average amount of overtime hours worked by a segment



of the work force. In the case of Special Agents, it was determined through study that the average justified 10% premium pay. Should continued study demonstrate justification for a higher rate of premium pay, DIRNIS is empowered to change same.

All of the above leads one to the question, why did we now change to GS-1811, Criminal Series? Simply stated, the U.S. Civil Service Commission periodically reviews existing classification standards. Both the GS-1810 and GS-1811 series are being revised. The duties of the NIS Special Agent are more properly defined under the new GS-1811 standards and the Civil Service Commission suggested that NIS change now. No additional benefits or employment duties will accrue from this change.

---

## Agent Training Activities

### AGENTS BASIC TRAINING COURSE 1B(72) 27 September - 29 October 1971

Gary W. ALLEN	11	John E. LAYSON	06
Timothy K. BAUMGARTNER	01	Merdith C. LOCKWOOD	12
Clarence C. BUSCHERT	05	Gregg D. MOORE	04
Martin J. CHIUMINATTO, Jr.	11	James M. PARKS	03
Thomas J. CONRY	06	William D. SAVERANCE	14
John P. ENGMAN	09	William D. SLAWTER, III	05
Joseph F. GEWERTH	09	Paul D. STOUT	12
Michael D. HAWKINS	11	John K. TINSLEY	06
Michael E. ISENBERG	13	Hugh P. WARD	11
Joseph M. KENNEDY	11		

### COUNSELORS

Robert BAGSHAW	NISRA Newport
Ray A. BERGER	NISRA Harrisburg
Anthony J. LIQUORI	NISRA Hartford
Nowell D. NELMS	NISRA Norfolk

### INVESTIGATIVE SUPERVISION AND MANAGEMENT SEMINAR 1/ISMS(72) 29 November - 10 December 1971

Thomas J. KING	NISRA Portsmouth, N.H.
Thomas J. EGAN	NISO New York
George D. MULLIGAN	NISRA New London
John MAICHER	NISRA Norristown
Richard E. CHILDS	NISRA Cleveland
Donald E. ATWATER	NISRA Baltimore
Troy N. GILLISPIE	NISRA Key West
Todd G. HANNAH	NISRA Miami
Albert F. DEAHL, Jr.	NISRA Albuquerque



Bert F. GRAY, Jr.  
William J. JOHNSON  
Claud H. STAPLES  
Howard L. ABRAMS  
Richard A. MALTBY  
Marvin W. SMOOT  
Robert LACOSTA  
Kenneth W. NICKEL  
Kenneth W. ANTHONY  
James B. MCKEE  
Paul J. MUELLER

NISRA Oklahoma City  
NISRA Grand Rapids  
NISRA Detroit  
NISRA San Diego (Naval Station)  
NISRA Treasure Island  
NISRA Moffett Field  
NISRA Bremerton  
NISO Honolulu  
NISRA Quantico  
NISRA Washington  
NISO Washington

USSS PROTECTIVE SERVICES SEMINAR, WASHINGTON, D.C. (4-8 Oct 1971)

Rodney J. HANSEN - NISHQ

FBNDD, WASHINGTON, D.C. (29 Nov - 10 Dec 1971)

Edward L. EISENSEN - NISRA Naples

---

## Technical Services Division

Proper "f" stop settings on adjustable cameras are computed for flash (either electronic or bulb) by dividing the manufacturer's recommended guide number by the distance to the subject. The guide number is a result of the manufacturer's test to determine the average exposure for an average subject with certain films. As the written message on most flash units or bulb containers indicate that the guide is just that, a guide, the photographer by trial and test may want to adjust that figure to give himself the best negative for the purpose he has in mind. The distance from the film plane to the subject can usually be determined by reading directly from the focusing scale of the camera or by estimating.

As an example, a guide number of 100 which is determined for use for a particular film and camera setting, is divided by the distance to the subject, 10 feet. With these figures we arrive at an "f" setting of f10. While most cameras available do not have an indicator mark for f10, the operator can approximate the desired setting by placing the "f" stop indicator between f8 and f11.

Automatic flash units work on the principle that reflected light from the subject is received by the unit and the light output is cut off when the sensor tells the unit that enough light has reached the film to make a good exposure. Though this system sounds perfect, when not handled properly, the photographer will find that the unit has misread the situation and the resulting negatives will be very difficult to print. Some recently received negatives from the field were of an interior of an office. An automatic flash unit was used as the main source of



light. When the area of interest was material on a desk and the camera was moved back a sufficient distance to include the entire desk, the sensor interpreted the light colored wall in the background as part of the subject and shut off the light prematurely. The wall reflects much more light than the top of the desk and the resulting negative showed a desk top two to three stops underexposed and the wall in perfect exposure. The sensor cannot know what the subject material is to be and it will read whatever is included in its field of view. Also, if a subject is dark complexioned and is wearing very light clothing the operator should go to a manual mode of operation so that the subject's features are reproduced on the negative.

The automatic flash units are a definite help to the photographer but are not, at this state of the art, designed to think for him. These units will perform faithfully as long as the operator remembers a few basic rules on flash photography:

a. Light areas of a selected field of view reflect more light than dark areas.

b. When exposing for a subject at a distance of ten feet, items closer than ten feet will be overexposed and items further away will receive less exposure.

c. Use the recommended guide number as a guide and not as an unvariable figure.

---

The old Air Force Contract (AF34(601)23068) under which we have been leasing NIS radio equipment has been replaced by a contract negotiated by GSA. This new contract (GS-00S-07831) states that the provisions of the former contract will apply for the equipment now on hand. In other words, we still have the same amount of leased equipment as last year at the same per annum cost. It is emphasized that this is a lease maintenance contract. Under the terms of the contract, NIS must make all equipment available for preventive maintenance (PM) on a semi-annual basis, and, all malfunctioning equipment will be repaired by any Motorola maintenance facility. In the case of a Base Station, PM or repair will be made on site, and such repairs should commence within 24 hours of the trouble call. Mobile/portable equipment is taken to the maintenance facility for PM/repairs, and the facility must commence repair within 48 hours. Generally speaking, the equipment is to be restored to operating condition within 10 days in CONUS, and 15 days without CONUS.

The maintenance contract does not cover such items as damage resulting from negligence or vandalism, "Act of God", or expenses incurred for removal or transfer of equipment. Failure to make the equipment available for PM can also void the contractual responsibility. When equipment needs service, it can be taken to any authorized Motorola maintenance facility which will make the necessary repair, log the serial number of the component and our contract number on the invoice, and forward it to Motorola which will reimburse the facility for the repairs. Motorola is in the



process of obtaining credit cards with the new contract number, but assures us that the old credit cards are to be honored by all Motorola maintenance facilities. The credit cards, incidentally, are keyed to the contract number, not a particular piece of equipment. The serial number of the unit is of significance to Motorola which has a master list of all equipment leased by us, but is meaningless to the repair facility which has no such current listing. Service fitting within the above guidelines should never result in the expenditure of funds by any field component.

It is recognized that the whole business of the radio contract has been, and for the most part, will continue to be, a source of confusion. For this reason, anyone having any questions whatsoever regarding our leased equipment, is invited to contact the Technical Services Division.

---

## **SEC DEPARTMENT NOTES**

The Secretary of the Navy, the Honorable John H. Chafee, by letter of 17 Nov 1971 announced the promotion of George T. LONG to the rank of Commander effective 1 Nov 1971. CDR LONG is the Assistant Director, S.E.C. Department and congratulations are in order for this well deserved elevation.

---

On 1 Nov 1971 the reorganization of the S.E.C. Department was completed. Structurally the Department consists of the Research and Production Division (NIS-41), the Operational Plans and Programs Division (NIS-42), and the Secretariat (NIS-40S). A pending change to NISINST C5450.4B will depict the significant functions and responsibilities of the reorganized S.E.C. Department. NIS-41 is headed by Mr. Don C. GORHAM, NIS-42 by Mr. Harry B. WARREN, and NIS-40S by Mr. Jack MCDONNELL. The realignment of functions within the S.E.C. Department constitutes a whole new ball game and one that promises to demonstrate greater emphasis on the needs of the Fleet Naval Commands and Unified and Specified Commands.

---

An Intelligence Operations Specialist Seminar is planned at NISHQ during the period 10-13 Jan 1972. The prime purpose of this seminar is to update IOS knowledge on major changes in substantive and procedural S.E.C. matters.

---



## FOODSTUFF FILCHER FOILED

NISRA Orlando had occasion to utilize a night vision scope (NITEYE Model 18-75E1) recently during an early morning surveillance and subsequent apprehension of a civilian employee of the U. S. Navy. The subject was suspected of pilfering government foodstuffs and transporting them by automobile to his residence. The civilian's modus operandi involved the parking of his car near the exit door of the Food Services Building (where he worked) and extinguishing any lights in the building. He would then make several trips from the building to the trunk of this car, carrying bags and cans of foodstuffs under the cover of darkness.

Through the use of the night vision scope obtained in an expeditious manner from Code 26, NISHQ, NISRA Orlando Special Agents were able to view the culprit's activities very clearly and were able to observe the canned goods and bags he was carrying. They were located approximately fifteen to twenty-five feet from the area of the civilian's activity, and two twenty-five watt yellow bulbs and the stars were the only sources of light. When activated, the scope was found to emit a high pitched whine and it was also noted during the surveillance that the sound generated immediate interest on the part of the Florida mosquitoes which "homed in" on the scope (and its user). The vibration of the equipment also caused the crickets in the vicinity to sing out in full orchestral style.

This particular scope is not in the NIS inventory of investigative aids. At the time of the request for support from NISRA Orlando, it was being evaluated for possible purchase. In the near future, a quantity of a similar device, the Army's Night Vision Sight (Starlight Scope), will be acquired to provide this needed capability throughout NIS.

---

## NCIC TELEPHONIC INQUIRIES

The NISHQ NCIC can be a valuable investigative aid in many types of investigations being conducted by NIS. Regardless of the particular case category, the agent should not hesitate to submit requests to NISHQ for NCIC inquiries. Telephonic requests for inquiries are encouraged if it will expedite any investigation being conducted. The NISHQ NCIC terminal operator, Tom CECIL, is normally on duty from 0730 to 1600 Monday thru Friday and can be reached on autovon number 221-0245/0191. A telephonic inquiry can usually be handled with one phone call although there may be occasions when it will be necessary for the NCIC terminal operator to return the call to the agent making the inquiry. Any necessary documentation may be submitted subsequent to the telephonic inquiry. Remember the primary purpose of NISHQ NCIC is to provide investigative support to the agent in the field.



## **PROPER HANDLING AND SHIPMENT OF BLOOD AND SPUTUM**

During the course of a recent investigation samples of the suspect's blood and sputum were obtained for laboratory analysis. These samples were shipped by air express to a distant crime laboratory. Upon receipt by the laboratory the samples were found to be in a frozen state and not suitable for examination. It was not clear whether the samples were intentionally frozen in an attempt to preserve them or they were inadvertently frozen during shipment.

To clarify the procedures to be used in the handling and shipping of blood and sputum which may have evidentiary value the following guidelines are suggested.

1. Living Persons - Obtain two 5cc. samples of each specimen and place in separate sterile test tubes. To one sample add a suitable anti-coagulant such as sodium citrate, sodium oxylate or sodium fluoride. Add nothing to the second sample. Seal both samples and mark with the name of the donor, date taken, doctor's name and the Special Agent who received the samples. Moreover, the sample containing an anti-coagulant should be appropriately marked, including the type of substance added. DO NOT REFRIGERATE OR ALLOW THE SAMPLE TO BECOME FROZEN. Hand deliver or ship Airmail, Special Delivery, Registered-Return Receipt Requested.

2. Deceased Persons-Obtain one 5cc. sample and place it in a sterile test tube. No anti-coagulant should be added. Seal the sample and mark as indicated above. DO NOT REFRIGERATE OR ALLOW THE SAMPLE TO BECOME FROZEN. Hand deliver or ship Airmail, Special Delivery, Registered-Return Receipt Requested.

---

## **NEW YORK IN-SERVICE TRAINING**


On 7 October 1971, the FBI New York Training Division conducted a six hour presentation in the "Mechanics of Arrest" for NISO-New York personnel. Two FBI Special Agents made the presentation, which consisted of a complete and lengthy demonstration/practice in the art of approaching, subduing, handcuffing, searching and escorting suspects.

Sixteen NISO-New York personnel were present, representing NISRAs New York (1) and (2), Newark, Garden City, Earle, Utica, Hartford and New London. Those in attendance were charged with the responsibility of refresher training for others in their respective NISRAs.



## Where Are They Now ?

Again this quarter an Agent's Basic Class from a decade ago is featured. From 9 October to 3 November 1961 the class shown below (1B-62) met at the Navy Service Center, Arlington, Va. They were the last class to be billeted at the old Annex across the Anacostia River, Washington, D.C. Of the twenty-one Special Agents in attendance a total of eleven remain on-board, nine have resigned and one, S/A Marvin A. HINCHEN, died of cancer. Additionally, Lt. Stanley M. DORE, Jr. USN, was in attendance at this Basic Class.



	<u>NAME &amp; EOD</u>	<u>NISOs/NISRAs Assigned</u>
ROW 1	MURPHY, Patrick M.	NISRA Atlanta, NISRA New York,
L to R	13 MAR 61	NISRA Newark, NISRA Garden City
		Resigned 9 AUG 68
	HINCHEN, Marvin A.	NISRA Chicago, NISRA Indianapolis,
	13 MAR 61	NISRA Detroit, Died of Cancer
		17 AUG 1964
	MR. JOHN W. LYNCH, CDR ROBERT A. KLARE, MR. CHARLES R. WILSON, MR. HENRY V. SCHULTZ (NISHQ)	



	DOUVILLE, Donald R. 22 AUG 1960	NISRA San Diego, NISRA Phoenix, Resigned 19 APR 1968
	JOHNSON, Earl L. 17 APR 1961	NISRA Chicago, NISRA Great Lakes, NISRA Minneapolis (ASRA), NISRA Yokosuka (SRA), NISRA Alameda (SRA)
ROW 2 L to R	STEPHENSON, Thomas E. 16 JAN 1961	NISRA Norfolk, NISRA Charlottesville/ Roanoke, NISRA Camp Lejeune, NISRA Yokosuka
	REIS, George R. 29 APR 1961	NISO San Diego, NISRA San Diego, NISRA San Diego (Naval Station)
	SALMI, Richard E. 1 MAY 1961	NISRA Los Angeles, Resigned 27 APR 1962
	LACOSTA Robert 12 DEC 1960	NISRA San Francisco, NISRA Naples, NISRA Rota, NISRA Alameda, NISRA Treasure Island, NISRA San Fran- cisco, NISRA Bremerton (SRA)
	ROGERS, Gordon T. 22 AUG 1960	NISRA Washington, NISRA Subic Bay (SRA), NISRA Norfolk, NISRA Camp Lejeune, NISRA New York, Resigned 12 NOV 1971
	JOHNSON, John C. 6 MAR 1961	NISRA Washington, NISRA Keflavik, NISRA Atlanta.
	CORR, Gerald J. 24 APR 1961	NISO Philadelphia, NISRA Pitts- burgh, Resigned 30 NOV 1962
	ROBY, Jerry L. 16 JAN 1961	NISRA Chicago, NISRA Milwaukee, NISRA Subic Bay, NISRA Sangley Point, NISRA Minneapolis, NISRA Kodiak/Adak, NISRA Naples
ROW 3 L to R	STAPLES, Claud H. 1 JUN 1961	NISRA Norfolk, NISRA Charlottesville/ Fairmont, W. Va. (SRA) NISRA Charlottesville (ASRA), NISRA Detroit (SRA)
	DORE, Stanley M., Jr., Lt., USN	Now CDR DORE, SHAPE Hdq., Europe
	WELLS, Chester E. 2 NOV 1960	NISRA Chicago, NISRA Kansas City NISRA Washington, NISRA Andrews/ Pax River, NISRA Washington, NISRA Bethesda, NISRA Washington, NISRA New York (SRA)



MEYER, John A. 29 MAY 1961	NISO San Francisco, NISO Washington, NISRA Yokosuka, NISRA DaNang (SRA), NISRA Annapolis (SRA), NISHQ, Resigned 12 NOV 1971
CROWLEY, James J. 1 DEC 1960	NISRA Washington, NISRA New York, NISRA Hartford, Resigned 7 JUL 1962
CRIST, Jerry O. 19 SEP 1960	NISRA Washington, Resigned 23 FEB 1963
BARROWS, Robert J. 13 JUL 1961	NISRA Honolulu
HOWERTON, Elton C. 30 JAN 1961	NISRA Charleston, NISRA Pensacola, NISO Washington, NISRA Andrews A.F.B. (SRA), NISRA Quantico (SRA), NISRA Washington
ANDERSON, Peter J. 6 SEP 1960	NISO Chicago, NISRA Minneapolis, NISRA Kodiak, NISRA Foreign Liaison, Japan, NISRA Charleston/Greenville, S.C.
STARKE, John 1 OCT 1961	NISHQ, Resigned 12 NOV 1971



## Supervising Agents' Seminar Held At NISHQ

The annual Supervising Agents' Seminar was held at NISHQ from 17 to 19 November 1971. Supervising Agent's from all NISO's worldwide were in attendance as were the Division Heads from Investigations Department, NISHQ.



NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE SUPERVISING AGENTS' SEMINAR SAS(72)  
17 - 19 NOVEMBER 1971

Front Row, L to R: J.W. LYNCH, CAPT. J.Q. EDWARDS, E.S. RICHEY  
Second Row, L to R: R.L. VOLLRATH, J.M. BARRON, J.E. LANGAGER, V.E. LEVANDER,  
R.E. MCKENNA, T.J. NOLAN, J.I. GUEDALIA, J.C. OULAHAN, M.S. BLISS, V.A. BONNEY  
Back Row, L to R: P.P. DALY, G.R. SALB, W.R. ANDREWS, W.J. SCHAEFER, D.N. PLANTON,  
W.C. KUEHL, R.E. RUESCH, P.L. FASNACHT, L.G. BECK, H.M. FAIRLEY, D.J. KERR, W.G.  
MENDELSON



## PSL SELECTEES

A seven member board composed of senior supervisory personnel met at NISHQ on 15 & 16 November 1971 and selected the below named Special Agents to the Promotional Suitability List.

### PSL (GS-13)

MCCULLAH, Lanny E. - EOD 15 Apr 1963 at NISO San Francisco. Reassigned NISRA Moffett Field April 1964. Reassigned NISRA Subic Bay June 1965. Reassigned NISRA Arlington June 1967. Reassigned NISRA Annapolis October 1970 where he is currently serving as SRA (GS-12/4).

ORME, Robert T. - EOD 25 May 1964 at NISO New Orleans. Reassigned NISRA San Antonio August 1964. Reassigned NISRA El Paso July 1965. Reassigned NISHQ Dec 1967. Reassigned NISRA Keflavik July 1970 where he is currently serving as SRA (GS-12/3).

REILLY, Peter - EOD 2 Sep 1962 at NISO New York. Reassigned NISRA Taipei March 1964. Reassigned NISRA DaNang March 1968. Reassigned NISRA Camp Pendleton March 1969 where he is currently serving as ASRA (GS-12/4).

SEAL, Kenneth A. - EOD 29 July 1957 at NISRA Charleston. Reassigned NISRA Charlotte Feb 1958. Reassigned NISRA Pensacola June 1963. Reassigned NISO San Diego Feb 1966. Reassigned NISO Charleston August 1968 as Code 30 (GS-13). Reverted to agent status (GS-12) in Dec 1970 and is presently serving as SRA Charleston.

TARDIFF, Byron Louis - EOD 1 Feb 1951 at NISO San Francisco. Reassigned to NISRA Sasebo in June 1963. Reassigned to NISRA Alameda in Sep 1965. Reassigned to NISRA Mare Island July 1971 where he is currently serving as SRA (GS-12/6).

WHEELER, Jerry A. - EOD 23 Aug 1959 at NISRA Long Beach. Reassigned to NISRA Okinawa in July 1962. Reassigned to NISHQ in March 1965. Reassigned to NISRA El Toro in July 1968 where he is currently serving as SRA (GS-12/6).

### PSL (GS-12)

BAGSHAW, Robert - EOD 2 Dec 1963 at NISRA Los Angeles. Reassigned to NISRA Newport on 3 Dec 1967 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

BERGER, Ray Allen - EOD 15 Aug 1966 at NISRA Philadelphia. Reassigned NISRA Harrisburg in August 1968 where he is currently serving in GS-11/4.



COMES, Philip E. - EOD 6 Sep 1966 at NISRA Chicago. Reassigned to NISRA Great Lakes in Mar 1967. Reassigned to NISRA Naples in June 1970 where he is currently serving in GS-11/4.

DEITCH, Barry N. - EOD 1 Feb 1964 at NISRA Philadelphia. Reassigned NISRA Camden NOV 1965. Reassigned NISRA Guam in March 1966. Reassigned NISO Vietnam in June 1968. Reassigned NISRA Philadelphia March 1969. Reassigned NISRA Fort Holabird in Aug 1969 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

DONAHUE, Neil A. - EOD 23 Dec 1963 at NISO Hawaii where he is currently serving in GS-11/7.

GUTSHALL, Stephen C. - EOD 10 Jan 1966 at NISRA Chicago. Reassigned NISRA Great Lakes March 1968. Reassigned NISRA Kodiak June 1971 where he is currently in GS-11/4.

JESSE, Albert F. - EOD 30 Sep 1963 at NISO New Orleans. Reassigned NISRA Albuquerque in Feb 1964. Reassigned NISRA Taipei in July 1966. Reassigned NISRA San Diego Aug 1968. Reassigned NISRA North Island Aug 1970. Reassigned NISRA San Diego March 1971 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

LANKFORD, James M. - EOD 12 Feb 1951 at NISO New Orleans. Reassigned NISRA Dallas Sep 1953 where he is currently serving in GS-11/8.

LARSON, Donald A. - EOD 10 June 1963 at NISO Chicago. Reassigned NISRA Minneapolis Dec 1963 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

NELMS, Nowell D. - EOD 23 May 1966 at NISRA Norfolk. Reassigned NISRA Bainbridge Aug 1966. Reassigned NISO Vietnam Nov 1967. Reassigned NISRA Sasebo in Nov 1968. Reassigned NISRA Norfolk in Dec 1970 where he is currently serving in GS-11/4.

NICHOLSON, Willard B. - EOD 25 Oct 1965 at NISRA Norfolk. Reassigned NISRA Bainbridge March 1966. Reassigned NISRA Rota (Kenitra) in Dec 1969 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

RANKIN, Harold L. - EOD 15 March 1964 at NISRA Houston where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

STEPHENSON, Thomas E. - EOD 16 Jan 1961 at NISRA Norfolk. Reassigned NISRA Charlottesville(Roanoke) in Oct 1961. Reassigned NISRA Camp Lejeune in June 1964. Reassigned NISRA Yokosuka in May 1969 where he is currently serving in GS-11/7.



SUNDSTROM, Carl W. - EOD 29 July 1963 at NISRA Chicago. Reassigned NISRA Atsugi in July 1965. Reassigned NISO Vietnam in August 1969. Reassigned NISRA North Island in Sep 1970 where he is currently serving in GS-11/5.

SNYDER, Waldemar G. - ECD 8 Aug 1966 at NISRA San Francisco. Reassigned to NISRA Sacramento in Jan 1967. Reassigned to NISRA Honolulu in March 1969 where he is currently in GS-11/4.

---

## **NISO NEW YORK'S FISHING EXPEDITION**

On 24 September 1971, the Special Services vessel "Silver Dolphin" departed her moorings at Naval Submarine Base, New London, Conn., with fishermen from New York City (CAPT K. P. REHNBERG, Jr. -0300, and D. N. PLANTON, 0320), Boston, Mass. (J. "I" GUEDALIA -0120), NISRA, Newark, N.J., NISRA, New London, Conn., U. S. Army Intelligence, New Haven, and local Navy commands in the New London area, for a total of eighteen hardy souls. Sailing (or, to the chagrin of SRA-New London Dan SWEENEY, Power-Boating) down the Thames River, the civilian skipper, under the watchful eye of CAPT REHNBERG, guided our sturdy craft to a point in Long Island Sound rumored (by the 03NL Chamber of Commerce) to be the Atlantic's finest Bluefishing area. Enthusiastically, lines were cast into the water where, after about one hour, absolutely nothing happened. Then the first fish of the day was on the line of 03NL's retired Chief Petty Officer (who at this point, it was alleged, had just doubled his lifelong sea duty time). From this point, all that could be seen were fishin' poles, flying elbows and pooped-out-people. Thirty-three fighting Bluefish were hauled aboard - plus one miniscule Starfish by the already chagrined (supra) SRA New London. The fish weighed in from 7 to 10.5 lbs each with S/A GUEDALIA hauling in the latter to win the pool. The vessel returned safely to port and, despite high winds and heavy seas, it must be pointed out that not one seasick fishman was sighted throughout the entire trip.





## *In Memoriam*

### **CHARLES F. LAWSON**

Everyone who knew "Charlie" LAWSON was shocked to learn of his death on 31 October 1971 at Tri-City Hospital, Oceanside, California, from complications following surgery. His jovial personality and never-ending smile, his quick wit and numerous "stories," enabled him to achieve a level of camaraderie which will never be forgotten.

Following his retirement from the U. S. Army in November 1962 as a Lieutenant Colonel, Mr. LAWSON commenced his distinguished career with NIS in February 1963 at NISRA Chicago, Illinois. In May 1963 he was transferred to NISRA Indianapolis, Indiana, where he served until April 1964 when he was transferred to NISRA Denver, Colorado. He was assigned to NISSU Bremerhaven, Germany, in January 1966 and remained there until his transfer to NISRA San Diego, California, in November 1969, where he was assigned at the time of his death.

He leaves his wife, Mary, his son, 1stLT Charles F. LAWSON, Jr., USA, and his parents, Mr. and Mrs. Charles F. LAWSON of Tucson, Arizona, who have our deepest sympathy.



# AWARDS

## COMMENDATIONS

### LETTERS OF APPRECIATION

#### LETTERS OF COMMENDATION

BAGSHAW, Robert	01	BRIGHT, Ronald E.	12
CHANDLER, Charles H.	01	FERGUSON, Thomas E.	12
COLITRE, Charles E.	01	FITZPATRICK, Edward J.	12
RYAN, John A.	01	PURCELL, William R.	12
WILSON, Edward J.	01	TRIPLETT, John W.	60
THIES, Lawrence F.	09		

#### LETTERS OF APPRECIATION

BUTTERFIELD, Lyman H.	00	JESSE, Albert F.	11
SCHMITT, Frank H.	00	LYNCH, Frank P.	11
SHEDLICK, Thomas J.	00	REILLY, Peter L.	11
ANDERSON, Gayle E.	05	REIS, George R.	11
TUCK, Alfred K.	05	STILWELL, Fred L.	11
FAIR, Robert D.	06	SUMNER, Warren K.	11
HANNAH, Todd G.	06	TAYLOR, Robert A.	11
MC COY, Donald L.	06	WALL, Robert C.	11
FELDERHOFF, Charles P.	08	WALTERS, Kenneth J.	11
HAEFELI, Paul M.	08	WHEELER, Jerry A.	11
HOGG, Elmer E.	08	BEASLEY, Wallace M.	12
NICHOLS, Joseph Y.	08	RICHARDSON, Robert A.	13
RANKIN, Harold L.	08	BARKER, Lionel A.	13
WESTBERRY, Robert G.	08	SKIFF, Carl L.	14
ABRAMS, Howard L.	11	THOMAS, Lester G.	20
BEAUPARLANT, James H.	11	DALY, Patrick P.	81
BLISS, M. Sherman	11	BOURKE, Michael G.	81
BROOKS, George E.	11	MC MULLEN, John P.	81
COGDILL, David B.	11	STEPHENSON, Thomas E.	81
DAVIES, John G.	11	FISHER, Merlin D.	83
DUNN, John R.	11	ODOM, John C.	83
FOCHT, Walter S.	11	CAUBLE, Charles T.	84
FOLEY, Daniel R.	11	HUBBARD, Douglass H.	84
GIVENS, Fred F.	11	MC KENNA, Richard E.	84
HANSEN, Hans P.	11	MORGAN, John W.	84
HERDER, Louis B.	11	WHIDDEN, Marshall T.	84
HOWE, Wesley W.	11		



### OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE RATING

BIGGS, Joel W.	00	NORBY, James O.	09
KUEHL, Winston C.	00	O'RILEY, William C.	09
LANNOM, Charles R.	00	SCHANZ, Harry J.	09
RICHEY, Earl S.	00	SEACH, Jerald P.	09
TRUXELL, Bertrand G.	00	STEPHENS, Ray M.	09
D'AVANZO, John J.	01	STIHLER, Robert E.	09
PLANTON, David N.	03	THIES, Lawrence F.	09
PARKER, Malcolm M.	06	WEBB, Louis C.	09
ALLRED, James R.	09	WILLIAMS, Glenn T.	09
ANTHONY, Kenneth W.	09	WOLFORD, Edmund A.	09
BENSON, John S.	09	MILLS, Daniel A.	12
FASNACHT, Paul L.	09	COMBS, Albert B.	13
KAMPTON, Charles M.	09	COMES, Philip E.	60
KING, Laurence P.	09	DONNELLY, John F.	60
LARSON, Donald A.	09	PENDER, James P.	60

### QUALITY STEP INCREASE

KUEHL, Winston C.	00	ALLRED, James R.	09
LANNOM, Charles R.	00	NORBY, James O.	09
TRUXELL, Bertrand G.	00	O'RILEY, William C.	09
BAGSHAW, Robert	01	THIES, Lawrence F.	09
PARKER, Malcolm M.	06	BAUERLY, Milo A.	12
MINNICK, John J.	08	COMBS, Albert B.	13

### AUTHORIZED PROMOTIONS TO GS-14

KUEHL, Winston C.	00	Supervising Agent, NISO New York
-------------------	----	----------------------------------

### TRANSFERS

HOWATT, Franklyn J.	NISRA Memphis	NISRA Okinawa
ANTHONY, Kenneth W.	NISRA Great Lakes	NISRA Quantico
EISENSEN, Edward L.	NISRA Keflavik	NISRA Naples
WOELFFER, Russel A.	NISRA Naples	NISO San Diego
BEASLEY, Wallace M.	NISO Vietnam	NISRA Treasure Island



# SEC DEPARTMENT AWARDS

## OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE RATINGS

GASSAWAY, Arthur D.	11
GONTKON, Albert A.	11
MAHER, Raphael A.	83

## PROMOTION TO G.S. - 12

ANDERSON, Peter L.	60
--------------------	----

## QUALITY STEP INCREASE

GIBSON, John L.	09
-----------------	----

## LETTERS OF COMMENDATION

GASSAWAY, Arthur D.	11
MURPHY, Edward M.	60

## LETTERS OF APPRECIATION

ANDERSON, Peter L.	60
BOHLER, Jack C.	05
GONTKON, Albert A.	11

## SECNAV MERITORIOUS UNIT COMMENDATION

COLLINS, Emmett F.	14
--------------------	----

## LENGTH OF SERVICE AWARD (30 YEARS)

MCDONNELL, Jack F.	00
--------------------	----

## TRANSFERS

### FROM

### TO

GOMEZ, Henry	IOS, FT. AMADOR	S/A NISRA Key West
WOLF, Michael E.	S/A NISRA, Madison, Wisconsin	IOS, NISRA Keflavik
EISENSEN, Edward L.	IOS, NISRA Keflavik	S/A NISRA Naples